



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.43, No.5

February 9th, 2007

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "The issues are basically spiritual. The moral principles of Christianity do not stand by themselves, but are the fruit of certain truths about God and man. Take away these truths and we are left floundering. Christian ethics are the fruit of Christian theology, and in the same way Communist ethics are the fruit of Communist doctrine and philosophy. The world is in the grip of a war of dogma, or ideology. At the bottom of our dispute with Communism is a quarrel about the nature of God and man and the ultimate meaning of the universe. So many are handicapped in the struggle because they are confused and uncertain about aims, and therefore cannot fight properly..."

We have often heard the trite saying – "It doesn't matter what you believe so long as you do what is right". This is nonsense, because what we do finally depends on what we believe... We in the West have been trying to uphold a particular set of ethical values which have their basis in Christian theology, while gradually dispensing with the theology, and unfortunately some of those doing the white-ant work are Christian priests and ministers... Dogma and behaviour are bound up together."

– Source: From the Papers of Eric D. Butler

CHINESE DRAGON EATS U.S. by James Reed: Here is one for the "putting it in a nutshell" department. Larry Butrose, "Mao's Last Laugh is a Boom", *The Weekend Australian*, 30-31/12/06, p.21, sums up why the U.S. will be historically thumped by China:

"China's threat to American power and prestige is far more threatening than anything Osama bin Laden can throw at them. He attacked New York and Washington, killed thousands of people, and inflicted damage upon American self-belief and way of life – but in terms of power compared with China, he is an irritant.

China's growth has been double digit for a decade, during which it has become a vacuum cleaner for raw materials from around the world, from which, utilising cheap domestic labour and European and American technologies, it has made and sent a tsunami of consumer goods back out across the world. Its advantages are manifest. While the U.S. relies upon the under-educated, the under-privileged and illegal immigrants to hold down wages, China has a gargantuan domestic labour pool. It also rules as it pleases, using the Orwellian machinery of the modern totalitarian state, from persuasion and surveillance through to imprisonment and the death penalty, which it uses with even greater alacrity than the U.S.

No velvet glove over this iron fist: Not for China the niceties of liberal democracy: no velvet glove over this iron fist. The oligarchy of the Communist Party decrees and the assembly abides, rendering

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Chinese Dragon Eats U.S.* • *Science And The Cultural Wars* • *The Pope Versus Islam* • *Muslims Versus Christians* • *The Saga Of The Archives*

China effectively a boom capitalist economy run by a theoretical anti-capitalist clique. It is a perfect doublethink, and, infuriatingly for China's competitors, it is working very well thank you very much.

The leadership knows, as the people do, that provided the economy continues to perform in terms of rising incomes for greater numbers of Chinese, and wages are kept under control, the system will be deemed to be delivering the goods. In other words, the masses implicitly agree to the myth of the communist doctrine (if they consider it at all) while the elite continues the flow of cargo to them – the cars, televisions, fridges and washing machines."

The ultimate economic machine has been created: a Communist controlled Capitalist society – proving that Communism and Capitalism are two sides of the same oppressive monopolistic machine. Life for the working plebs is cheap in China: 320 people a day are killed in accidents (largely from work and most in the coal mines) but Communist officials have told the plebs to work harder to keep the economic growth fire's burning at 10 per cent. (*The Australian*, 22/12/06, p.8) China is the Social Crediter's ultimate nightmare.

SCIENCE AND THE CULTURAL WARS by James Reed: Did you know that the quality of mathematics and science education in Australia is now ranked below India? There, 40 per cent of the population is illiterate. For those of us who are illiterate, that means, can't read or write! Even Indonesia is ranked higher than Australia, according to the World Economic Forum. ("Our Maths Teaching Below India's", *The Australian*, 16/1/07, p.1.) According to the CSIRO's chief of Mathematical and Information Sciences, Australia's knowledge base is already gone and there is no new generation of scientists and mathematicians to service future needs. (*The Australian*, 17/1/07, p.2) Having destroyed the education basis of science, I suppose that the new class elite's next trick will be to solve in typical Hegelian dialectical fashion, the problem which they created (i.e. thesis-antithesis-thesis) – by bringing in scientists and mathematicians from India.

Well, how about the media replacing its journalists with Indians? And the arts faculties of the universities – what about sacking most of these academics and replacing them with Indians who under IR laws work for a fraction of the cost?

Would the chattering class support Asianisation and globalisation if, for once, it was their jobs on the line, and if they felt the economic pain?

THE POPE VERSUS ISLAM by Brian Simpson: In a lecture which he gave at his old university, Pope Benedict XVI, in a rare break from the usual accommodating interfaith dialogue, quoted the Byzantine Emperor Manuel II Palaeologus, who in 1391 said:

"Show me just what Mohammed brought that was new, and there you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as his command to spread by the sword the faith he preached." The Emperor was alluding to the "sword" verse of Chapter 9 of the *Koran*. Debate about the role of violence in spreading the faith (Islamisation) has continued ever since.

The problem here is that the *Koran* contains verses which seem to support the idea that Islam is a religion of the sword. Radical Islamists take this option, as seen most dramatically by the camp of Osama bin Laden. But the Islamic reaction to the Pope merely quoting an ancient authority in a scholarly address also proves this point.

Just consider: Palestinian militants ("good guys" according to Left-wing anti-Zionists) destroyed seven West Bank and Gaza Churches and an elderly Italian nun was shot dead by gunmen in Somalia – an act which wins the award for cowardry of the year.

Calls for Pope to be hunted down: Effigies of the Pope were burnt in Pakistan. British Islamist Anjem Choudary, at a rally outside Westminster Abbey, called for the Pope to be subjected to capital punishment.

Somali Islamist, Abubukar Hassam Malin merely wanted the Pope hunted down and killed "on the spot" – much like one would want dingoes eliminated. (*The Weekend Australian*, 23-24/9/06, p.17)

Political leaders across the West, who have also surrendered to the disease of multiculturalism, urged the Pope to issue an apology. He did, but it did nothing: the threat of revenge continued. No Pope in history has ever apologised for quoting a source in a speech to a university – even the Inquisition hasn't been apologised for – but stay tuned.

In this context, it is interesting to view the position of Australian Federal Police Commissioner Mick Kelty: "I've been with the Islamic community here in Australia since 9/11, talking to them, going to dinner with them, sharing the Ramadan feasts with them, and I understand a lot more about them now than what I did before... I think when you look at the rich history that Muslims have brought to this country there is a terrific positive story to be told." Yes, but this is trivially true of any group.

The negative side to this: The flip-side, in the light of the Sydney gang rapes, the Dutch cartoon controversies, 9/11 itself and now in the Pope v Islam case, is that there is also a negative side.

Kelty's remarks are quoted from George Megalogenis' "Moderating the Fight on Terror", *The Weekend Australian*, 16-17/9/06, p.25. Megalogenis is a champion of multiculturalism.

Fine – but consistently applying multiculturalism means we Anglos should also have the same "multicultural" ethnic relativist rights to stick up for our own kind as ethnics stick up for theirs.

From the perspective of the group survival interests of my kind it is impossible to see "multiculturalism" as part of the solution.

Anglo racial suicide: Excuse me, but we Anglos have been multiculturalised to the degree that the Sydney gang rapes were not seen as anti-Anglo racism by our ruling elites, and that investigations of Islam as made by the Pope, can be dealt with by racial and religious tolerance Acts if made by a Christian preacher.

This is not tolerance, it is racial suicide for us. Would Megalogenis wish to live in a future Europe which will be majority Muslim? When the Nordic West, which still supplies the racial backdrop to modern life, finally expires, will liberal democratic institutions survive? The really tough questions are never tackled by the media multiculturalists because serious intellectual analysis of multiculturalism would reveal the rational bankruptcy of multiculturalism and ethnic pluralism.

How tolerant of 'multiculturalism' will they be? Australian multiculturalism is a parasite philosophy which depends upon the compliance of the Anglo-host, but reverse the situation by a radical change in demographics, as may occur in a future Europe, and the philosophy of Anjem Choudary and Abubukar Hassan Malin will become the dominant ideology.

Will their kind, if they rule, accept the need for multicultural tolerance? Don't bet on it.

John Howard believes that there is an Islamic segment of the Australian population which is "very resistant to integration". Kelty rejects even this mild point of view pointing to a non-Muslim terrorist element, being people calling themselves Muslim who strike at the West.

On this logically absurd basis he rejects racial profiling in dealing with terrorists, continuing the same type of philosophy of law enforcement that existed with the Sydney gang rapes.

Kelty claims that "true Islam" denounces violence: but that is the point of the Pope's debate: *What is true Islam?*

A liberal interpretation of Islam can view Islam as a religion of peace. However as Australia's George Pell has noted in defending the Pope: "In my own reading of the *Koran* I began to note down the

invocations to violence. There are so many of them, however, that I abandoned this exercise after 50 or 60 or 70 pages."

There is thus a battle for the heart and soul of Islam raging between the optimists and the pessimists. Glib, glazed-eyed expressions of the joys of multiculturalism, typical of the Keating regime, are not addressing the core issues. A serious examination of Islam (and of Judaism and Christianity for that matter) is in order.

MUSLIMS V CHRISTIANS by Ian Wilson LL.B: In 2002 two Christian pastors of Catch the Fire Ministries published various articles critical of Islam. The Islamic Council of Victoria ("an incorporated association that represents Muslims and Islamic societies in Victoria") made a complaint under Section 8 of Victoria's Racial and Religious Tolerance Act alleging that the "conduct incited hatred against, serious contempt for, or revulsion or severe ridicule of the Islamic Faith."

The matter was heard by the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) and judgement was given in favour of the Islamic Council of Victoria on 22 June 2005.

The pastors appealed to the Victorian Court of Appeal. On 14 December 2006 the Court held that the matter should be returned to the VCAT for reconsideration by a different judge as the original VCAT judge had used the wrong legal test of incitement.

Sadly, the Court held that the Act was constitutionally valid as it did not infringe the implied Constitutional freedom of communication on governmental and political matters. This is an astonishing conclusion because it is difficult to think of matters more important to political discussion than those raised by the pastors.

Nettle, J.A. also said at paragraph [17]: "it is conceivable that a statement made about religious beliefs in the course of a talk-back radio broadcast could run foul of S.8 of the Act while the same thing said as part of intellectual discourse within a seminary or faculty of theology would not have that effect."

In other words: watch out media, the medium by which a statement is made, is now relevant to race-hate matters.

THE SAGA OF THE ARCHIVES by James Reed: Cabinet documents from 1976 were released by the National Archives in the first week of 2007. (see: www.naa.gov.au. And click on "1976 cabinet records".) The documents among other things document that the immigration department warned Malcolm Fraser's government that it was allowing in too many Lebanese Muslim refugees without the "required qualities" to integrate into Australian society.

Malcolm Fraser, ever-politically correct, replied that the Muslim refugees had criminal records on a par with the Australian average. And anyway: "We should look not just at the people who came from Lebanon. We also need to look to ourselves to see what went wrong with these particular communities." (*The Australian*, 2/1/07, p.2)

A predictable and despicable response: The blame is never to fall on the shoulders of politicians like him, only the long-suffering Anglo-Australian people.